

5.3.6 Cumulative Analysis of Post-authorization Adverse Event Reports

Massive Miscarriage Rates Among Vaccinated Pregnant Women Found Buried In The Pfizer Documents  
Pierre Kory's Medical Misings (Substack) / 8/20/2022 | Pierre Kory, MD, MPA

Posted on 8/20/2022, 5:55:15 PM by Qvint

Let's start with the fact the PFDA (the P is not a typo) asked a federal court for 75 years to make public the many thousands of pages of data submitted to them by Pfizer to support the EUA they this task within 75 years. Let's ignore the 2nd one as absurd on its face (especially since they seem to be pouring out documents monthly after the judge ordered them to). Where there is a will there is a way apparently.

One interpretation of this action is that they wanted the data to stay hidden for a long time to hide fraud and/or criminality (same thing). The other is that they only had enough staff to complete this task within 75 years. Let's ignore the 2nd one as absurd on its face (especially since they seem to be pouring out documents monthly after the judge ordered them to). Where there is a will there is a way apparently.

Now why would they want to keep the data hidden? What lies within the realm of possibilities is that at the time they went to court, they knew the EUA and the resulting massive national and global vaccine campaign were pre-determined and independent of whatever "science" emerged to support or not support the campaign. Unfortunately for them, the "science" was not supportive. At all. So they tried to suppress the serious troubling toxicity and lack of efficacy data contained within those documents.

Well the court ordered them to make public thousands of pages of documents each month. My hypothesis above seems to be validated by the uncovering of what is not troubling, but absolutely terrifying data on the lack of safety in pregnancy. While Dr Naomi Wolf and the WarRoom/DailyClout Research Volunteers recently corrected a report that overcounted miscarriages in one section of the Pfizer documents, they are right to have early and often called attention to signals about this issue overall. Indeed in May 2022, they broke the story of another section of the Pfizer documents, in which the mortality rate of fetuses and babies of women vaccinated with Pfizer's mRNA injection was about 80 per cent.

Now, let's do a dive on just one page of the many thousands. See below, Section 5.3.6, Page 12 of the document called "Cumulative Analysis of Post-Authorization Adverse Event Reports."

Topic	Description
Miscing Information	Post Authorization Cases Evaluation (cumulative to 28 Feb 2021) Total Number of Cases in the Reporting Period (N=42861)
Use in Pregnancy and lactation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Number of cases: 4137 (0.98% of the total PM dataset); 84 serious and 329 non-serious;</li><li>Country of incidence: US (205), UK (64), Canada (31), Germany (30), Poland (13), Israel (11), Italy (9), Portugal (8), Mexico (6), Estonia, Hungary and Ireland, (5 each), Romania (4), Spain (3), Czech Republic and France (2 each), the remaining 10 cases were distributed among 10 other countries.</li></ul> <p>Pregnancy cases: 274 cases including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>270 mother cases and 4 foetus/baby cases representing 270 unique pregnancies (the 4 foetus/baby cases were linked to 3 mother cases; 1 mother case involved twins).</li><li>Pregnancy outcomes for the 270 pregnancies were reported as spontaneous abortion (23), outcome pending (3), premature birth with neonatal death, spontaneous abortion with intrauterine death (2 each), spontaneous abortion with neonatal death, and normal outcome (1 each). No outcome was provided for 238 pregnancies (note that 2 different outcomes were reported for each twin, and both were counted).</li><li>146 non-serious mother cases reported exposure to vaccine in utero without the occurrence of any clinical adverse event. The exposure PTs coded to the PTs Maternal exposure during pregnancy (111), Exposure during pregnancy (29) and Maternal exposure timing unspecified (6). Trimester of exposure was reported in 21 of these cases: 1st trimester (15 cases), 2nd trimester (7), and 3rd trimester (2).</li><li>124 mother cases, 49 non-serious and 75 serious, reported clinical events, which occurred in the vaccinated mothers. Pregnancy related events reported in these cases coded to the PTs Abortion spontaneous (25), Uterine contraction during pregnancy, Premature rupture of membrane, Abortion, Abortion missed, and Fetal death (1 each). Other clinical events which occurred in more than 5 cases coded to the PTs Headache (33), Vaccination site pain (24), Pain in extremity and Fatigue (22 each), Myalgia and Pyrexia (16 each), Chills (13) Nausea (12), Pain (11), Arthralgia (9), Lymphadenopathy and Drug ineffective (7 each), Chest pain, Dizziness and Asthenia (6 each), Malaise and COVID-19 (5 each). Trimester of exposure was reported in 22 of these cases: 1st trimester (19 cases), 2nd trimester (1 case), 3rd trimester (2 cases).</li></ul>

Looking at the first bullet under the header:

Pregnancy cases: 274 cases including:

In this paragraph, at first read, it is just a list of adverse events and numbers, detailed in a way that is confusing at best, and obfuscating at worst. I think it is the latter because, if you do some simple arithmetic trying to parse that paragraph, you end up with this:

- 270 pregnancies were reported in vaccinated women during the first 12 weeks of the vaccine campaign. In 238 of them, "no outcome was provided." So, they only knew the outcome of 32 pregnancies reported. What happened in those 32 pregnancies they followed up on:

My hands are literally trembling as I write this, but here goes. In these 32 pregnancies, there were:

- 23 spontaneous abortions
- 2 spontaneous abortions with intra-uterine death
  - So, 25 of the 32 pregnancies with known outcomes resulted in a miscarriage, a rate of 78%. Note that miscarriage normally occurs in only 12-15% of pregnancies
- 2 premature births with neonatal death
- 1 spontaneous abortion with neonatal death
- 1 normal outcome

Note that this only adds up to 29 known outcomes, but then they note that "two different outcomes were reported for each twin" and then they talk about "fetus/baby cases as separate from mother cases." I have no idea how they interpreted this explanation of outcomes, so it may have been one or two less (or more) deaths then.

So, of the 32 pregnancies they knew the outcome of, 87.5% resulted in the death of the fetus or neonate. Burying this data in the way and not alerting the world to what they found, is criminal activity yet again. This is what they do and have always done when one of their novel products begins to cause death. It is just these kinds of actions that have resulted in the billions of criminal and civil lawsuits that have piled up. They have done this with numerous newly launched medications such as Avandia, Bextra, Vioxx, and let's not forget oxycodone. Many hundreds of thousands of deaths have resulted with the burying of adverse event and death data around newly launched products until they are caught, pay massive fines, and then carry on doing the same thing.

Check out [this article reviewing their decades of criminality](#) in which this quote appears:

While the defense industry used to be the biggest defrauder of the federal government under the False Claims Act (FCA), a law enacted in 1863 to prevent defense contractor fraud, the pharmaceutical industry has greatly overtaken the defense industry in recent years. The pharmaceutical industry now tops not only the defense industry, but all other industries in the total amount of fraud payments for actions taken against the federal government under the False Claims Act."

This one is bigger though. And maybe why I keep hearing of a bunch of folks now starting to short their stock as they see this fraud as potentially bankrupting these companies. Maybe, maybe not. They are really good at surviving their frauds and scandals.

Further, in looking at the Pfizer documents more broadly you find so many fragmentations and obfuscations of data in the way they present the data, combined with inexplicable categorizations of deaths as unrelated to the vaccine when they could never have known that (yet should have assumed it until proven otherwise). No wonder it takes an army of volunteers months to dissect and pull out what the actual data indicates.

Now, ignoring and obfuscating the massive toxicity to the fetuses of pregnant women exposed to the vaccine **also has major implications on fertility**.

And this is where it gets even more horrifying. Birth rates are plummeting in many countries around the world, but the way in which they are plummeting is unprecedented. They are large drops and they are occurring, almost like clockwork, approximately 9 months after pregnant women around the world started to be vaccinated . This is occurring after women the world over were told it is "safe and effective" to vaccinate despite the fact the trials did not include pregnant women.

Yet, in the first 12 week post-vaccine rollout surveillance report submitted by Pfizer to the PFDA, of the 270 pregnancies reported, they followed 32 of them and found a horrifying rate of fetal/neonatal deaths. Again stir up memories of one of the most historically shocking statements ever uttered by an FDA using member, my "friend" Eric Rubin, editor-in-chief of my favorite journal, the New England Journal of Medicine (this is a joke), "but we're never going to learn about how safe this vaccine is unless we start giving it." Dr. Rubin and I have a little history. In a [NY Times Magazine article](#) which partly profiled me, he was quoted as saying I "got lucky" when I [testified in the Senate](#) on the critical need for corticosteroids in hospitalized COVID patients in May of 2020, months before Oxford's trial made it the standard of care overnight.

Well they started giving it all right. Now let's see if there are any data to suggest the babies are having an impact on birth rates. Note that although birth rates can vary from year to year, and even from one state to another, they are right to have early and often called attention to signals about this issue overall. In numerous countries around the world, this data is even more horrifying, some of which I obtained from Peter Immanuel's Substack called the [Freedom Corner](#) with [Peter Swicklen](#).

In Stockholm during the first quarter of 2022, birth rates plummeted by 14%, shocking a Professor in Demographics:

"It is a drastic and remarkable reduction beyond the usual. We have never seen anything like this before, that the bottom just falls out in just one quarter" said Gunnar Andersson, professor in demographics at Stockholm University to Dagens Nyheter.

As someone who has been witness to massive censorship, much of it voluntary (i.e self-censorship), what the Professor says next is completely expected and in-line with what is now almost two years of many leading (cowardly) scientists, researchers and physicians who have willingly avoided making conclusions that might put the vaccines in a bad light, despite the immensely disturbing data on COVID vaccine toxicity screaming from VAERS, the life insurance industry, and disability statistics since the roll-out. Here is what he says [in a newspaper interview](#):


"It correlates exactly in time with the mass vaccination program in April. May last year. People understood that the lock downs ended and now we had to go out in the world again. Demographers in other parts of Europe see the same timing" the professor said.

His interpretation is that lockdowns ended, vaccines were provided, thus giving everyone the freedom to start newly re-exploring the world instead of making babies? Although I find this almost too absurd to explore, I will say this: Sweden never really had any significant lock-downs. I am so sick and tired of hearing idiotic alternative explanations for truly disturbing data on the vaccines. Things like "women are not put a report into VAERS", or "working age people died at higher rates because of unemployment and deaths of despair." Yet in 2021, lockdowns were over, unemployment rates were at historic lows, and drug overdoses have been on a fairly constant (but not precipitous) rise for years. I could go on, but you know what I am talking about, the constant and healthy, active young people dropping dead all over the world.

Now check out Germany's first quarter births compared to the first quarter in previous years:



Switzerland data from the [Swiss Policy Research Report](#) here:



Screenshot from SWPRFS report.

Hungary is down 20% since the vaccine roll-out and this massive decrease was addressed by one member of parliament is [this widely circulated video](#) from the RAIR foundation. Other reports are even more shocking: Taiwan is down 27%, UK, North Dakota are reporting 12-13% declines, and Norway 6.2%. Admittedly these are a subset of countries, this is not meant to be a comprehensive analysis globally, but the month to month drop still needs explaining.

Further, disastrous impacts on fertility should not come as a surprise. Although censored, many in my network were aware of massive Facebook groups of women reporting and discussing severe menstrual irregularities as a result of these "vaccines." These groups were shut down by Facebook. Remember, the vaccination campaign had only one enemy: "vaccine hesitancy" and our Federal government [paid \\$1 Billion to media companies](#) to support a favorable view of the vaccines. Massive groups of women reporting severe menstrual irregularities would drive vaccine hesitancy, so such talk must be suppressed at all costs (or minimal cost to Facebook).

We knew about the University of Illinois researchers who were trying to survey 5,000 women to study the effects on menstruation and were besieged with over 30,000 responses in like a day or two. [The results were disturbing](#) (and they were either played away as temporary or just ignored).

Google searches find many dozens of articles actually addressing the widespread menstrual irregularities reported by women but always concluding the problems were transient/temporary. But never forget the inaction, ignoring, and suppression of these data by the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG). Never forget the Infectious Disease Society of America's (IDSA) distortion and rejecting of the immense data supporting ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine. Never forget the American Neurological Association and American Heart Associations ignoring of the [massive rises in strokes and heart attacks](#) among young healthy people with no co-morbidities. Never forget the Deans of the nations' 126 Academic Medical Centers who had to have been aware of what was happening in their University hospitals, yet said and did nothing. It is an endless list of leaders in Academic Medicine who either were cowardly or willfully complicit in the suppression and ignoring of these data or who simply fell victim to what should have been easily detectable propaganda.

I appreciate the efforts of [some who explore alternative "hypotheses"](#) to explain these suddenly dropping birth rates. Fine, prove them. Unless you can, as per the now ignored, but long standing regulatory standard, when a new medicine or device is introduced, you must first assume any adverse effects or deaths reported to be related to the intervention *until proven otherwise*. That is what I am doing here. We must assume the vaccines are impacting fertility because some other provable or credible explanations for a sudden drop in month to month birth rates. So stop the shots until you can prove they are not (this would be the 189th reason to stop the shots).

[Too many young people dying, too many becoming disabled](#), too many pregnancies resulting in fetal or neonatal death as above, and now we find out that if we continue with this vaccine obsession, they will not be replaced. This is a humanitarian catastrophe heaped atop the one caused by dangerous gain-of-function research. When will the world [wake up to this rapidly unfolding horror](#)? For those of us who know what is going on, it is hard not to feel helpless as we are forced to watch [increasingly apparent and widespread needless death](#). But we will continue to try to get these truths out despite the massive censorship and propaganda overwhelming the globe. We have a moral and ethical obligation and take that responsibility seriously no matter what befalls us. We must make people, now. And if we can't stop them, we must try to convince everyone we know to no longer agree to get vaccinated. Their lives and our future depend on it.

TOPICS: Health/Medicine  
KEYWORDS: criminals; fraud; miscarriages; selectiveabortion; selectiveeuthanasia; substackdollarsgram; vaccine

Click here: [to donate by Credit Card](#)

Or here: [to donate by PayPal](#)

Or by mail to: Free Republic, LLC - PO Box 9771 - Fresno, CA 93794

Thank you very much and God bless you.

Navigation: use the links below to view more comments.

[first previous 1-20, 21-40, 41-59 next last](#)

To: Qvint

Hopefully someone will pay for the clot shot crimes...but there is so little regard for life now I am not sure. We live in dark disgusting times.

21 posted on 8/20/2022, 7:31:25 PM by One4Life (It's all about control )

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 1 | View Replies ]

To: ladjjane

Yeah, usually, they are. A few more weeks, and he would have made it.

He's with God now.

22 posted on 8/20/2022, 7:32:44 PM by metmoon (...fixing our eyes on Jesus, the Author and Perfector of our faith...)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 18 | View Replies ]

To: semimjo

Precisely

23 posted on 8/20/2022, 7:41:43 PM by Nisfer (I see puppy dogs in the clouds )

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 9 | View Replies ]

To: Jane Long; ransomnote; nickcarraway

Houston, We Have a Problem (Part 1 of 3)

24 posted on 8/20/2022, 7:55:55 PM by mewilla (We need to repeal RCV wherever it's in use and go back to dumb voting machines.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 13 | View Replies ]

To: mikelots456; semimjo

Re: "The article states 78% but I have heard 44%?"

The 78% figure is incorrect for the following reason:

<https://freerepublic.com/focus/f-chat/4087108/posts?page=9#99>

At the end of the study, 238 of the women were still pregnant. Therefore no known outcome. Does any sane person believe that a 78% miscarriage rate could be missed or hidden? Was there a 78% reduction in births after the vaccine rollouts?

The 44% was from Naomi Wolfe's "crowdsourced project" with her "researchers" through the 30,000 pages of Pfizer documents. When she announced that "44% miscarried!" these "researchers" had supposedly found 22 miscarriages out of a total of 50 pregnant women. Trouble is, they double counted the miscarriages and made a wrong assumption about the total number of pregnant women.

They found a page with a table listing the 11 women who miscarried in the "all adverse events" section. And they found a page with the table listing those same 11 women in the "serious adverse events" section. Being lousy researchers, they failed to notice it was the same 11 women, same 11 miscarriages, and added the two tables together, claiming there were 22 miscarriages – but actually there were only 11.

They found a page with a table listing 50 women who became pregnant after the first dose and assumed this was the total number of pregnant women enrolled in the study, a wrong assumption. They also failed to notice that only 3 of the 11 women who miscarried are listed among the 50.

Therefore, there must be a table listing women who became pregnant at some other time which Naomi's "researchers" have not found yet among the 30,000 pages. It is highly likely a number of women did not yet realize they were pregnant when they were enrolled in the study, and so were pregnant before receiving the first dose. The "missing" 8 women must be in this group, and hence are not on the list of 50 who became pregnant after receiving the first dose. (Note that pregnant women were excluded from the study, so these women would not have participated in the study had it been known they were pregnant at the time of enrollment.)

Why only 11 miscarriages, not 22? Likely because Naomi's "researchers" only found pages from the earliest phase of the clinical trial so far. Just like they only found the page with only 50 pregnancies.

Others called her out on her errors, including this guy, who provides the pages and tables so you can see for yourself:

<https://threadreadapp.com/thread/1559949374381244416.html>

For more, see:

<https://freerepublic.com/focus/chat/4086071/posts?page=200#200>

<https://freerepublic.com/focus/chat/4086071/posts?page=198#198>

<https://freerepublic.com/focus/chat/4086071/posts?page=247#247>

25 posted on 8/20/2022, 7:57:25 PM by CatHerd (Whoever said "All's fair in love and war" probably never participated in either.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 5 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd; bugster; Jane Long; ransomnote; mikelots456

At the end of the study, 238 of the women were still pregnant. Therefore no known outcome. Does any sane person believe that a 78% miscarriage rate could be missed or hidden? Was there a 78% reduction in births after the vaccine rollouts?

Trick question: Pfizer TRIED to have the results sealed for 75 years.

So apparently they thought the results could be hidden.

Are you admitting Pfizer is crazy, troll?

In 8/20/2022, 8:53:41 PM by grey\_whiskers (The opinions are solely those of the author and are subject to change without notice.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 25 | View Replies ]

To: Qvint

My daughter bought the vaxx scam book, line and sinker. She was totally vaxxed and boosted when her grandson was born in early February. He was full term but the doctors and his lungs weren't completely developed. They spent seven days in ICU. At six months his lungs are fine as is everything else. He's still normal but finally beginning to rapidly grow. The doctors have no explanation as to what happened. I will never stop worrying about what effects that damn poison will eventually have on both my daughter and grandson.

27 posted on 8/20/2022, 9:26:42 PM by hardspunnen (former GOP globalist stooge)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 6 | View Replies ]

To: grey\_whiskers

No, the FDA tried. Given the ridiculous errors/lies by vaxx doomer grifters Naomi Wolfe and Korey, I'm beginning to see why.

If the vaccines caused 78% of pregnancies to end in miscarriage, why were births up by 1% in 2021 instead of down by 78%?

28 posted on 8/20/2022, 9:27:40 PM by CatHerd (Whoever said "All's fair in love and war" probably never participated in either.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 26 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd

No, the FDA tried. Given the ridiculous errors/lies by vaxx doomer grifters Naomi Wolfe and Korey, I'm beginning to see why.

You mean the FDA and not Pfizer pushed to have the laughably incomplete, full-of-adverse-events trials hidden for 75 years?

That's the exact opposite of their job.

If the vaccines caused 78% of pregnancies to end in miscarriage, why were births up by 1% in 2021 instead of down by 78%?

Because men don't have miscarriages, and illegal immigrants don't take the clot shot.

Troll.

29 posted on 8/20/2022, 9:52:28 PM by grey\_whiskers (The opinions are solely those of the author and are subject to change without notice.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 28 | View Replies ]

To: grey\_whiskers

You mean the FDA and not Pfizer pushed to have the laughably incomplete, full-of-adverse-events trials hidden for 75 years?

That's the exact opposite of their job.

Yes, it was the FDA. Which is why the judge ruled in favor of the FOIA suit:

<https://news.bloomberglaw.com/health-law-and-business/why-a-judge-ordered-fda-to-release-covid-19-vaccine-data-proto>

Because men don't have miscarriages, and illegal immigrants don't take the clot shot.

What have you been smoking?

30 posted on 8/20/2022, 10:02:08 PM by CatHerd (Whoever said "All's fair in love and war" probably never participated in either.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 29 | View Replies ]

To: metmoon

Omg. I'm so sorry.

31 posted on 8/20/2022, 10:03:22 PM by Yaelle

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 10 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd

Yes, it was the FDA. Which is why the judge ruled in favor of the FOIA suit:

So they're doing the opposite of their job.

What have you been smoking?

Because men don't have miscarriages, and illegal immigrants don't take the clot shot.

What have you been smoking?

Nothing. But if you're now arguing that men DO have miscarriages, then you're the one smoking something...

32 posted on 8/20/2022, 10:11:26 PM by grey\_whiskers (The opinions are solely those of the author and are subject to change without notice.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 30 | View Replies ]

To: grey\_whiskers

Maybe you should have some coffee. Or try again tomorrow after getting some sleep.

When you do, consider again:

If the vaccines caused 78% of pregnancies to end in miscarriage, why were births up by 1% in 2021 instead of down by 78%?

Men have nothing to do with it. (Pro tip: men can't get pregnant, either.)

Illegal immigrants likewise have nothing to do with it. How on Earth did these illegal immigrant births supposedly make up for a shortfall of 78% citizen births? Are you serious?

BTW, Hispanic births were up 2% in 2021 as compared to 2020, so there was no off-the-charts increase in Hispanic births. Nor was there a 78% decrease in births of non-Hispanic babies. Births of white babies increased by 2%. Births to black and Asian women declined by 2.4% and 2.5%, respectively, while American Indian/Alaskan Native women fell by 3.2%.

33 posted on 8/20/2022, 11:49:40 PM by CatHerd (Whoever said "All's fair in love and war" probably never participated in either.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 32 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd; grey\_whiskers

Correction (I'm tired):

If the vaccines truly caused 78% of pregnancies to end in miscarriages, (that would translate to about 58% and 68% above the norm (n as 10% to 20% normally result in miscarriage) among vaccinated pregnant women. Not all pregnant women were vaccinated, of course. The CDC estimates that slightly over 40% were fully vaccinated (two doses) by the end of 2021. No figures given as to how many had received a single dose.

34 posted on 8/20/2022, 11:29:40 PM by CatHerd (Whoever said "All's fair in love and war" probably never participated in either.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 33 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd; grey\_whiskers

If the vaccines caused 78% of pregnancies to end in miscarriage, why were births up by 1% in 2021 instead of down by 78%?

Ok, because not all women took the clot shot and those who didn't did what people do when everything is shut down and people are forced to stay home.

And even if 78% of the pregnancies did end in miscarriage, that means 21% didn't and those women probably got pregnant again and carried to term.

You are being willfully obtuse.

35 posted on 8/20/2022, 11:59:56 PM by metmoon (...fixing our eyes on Jesus, the Author and Perfector of our faith...)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 34 | View Replies ]

To: metmoon; grey\_whiskers; CatHerd

Woohoo! Grey Whiskers dismantles VaxHerd, part deux.

(this is gonna be good)

"popcorn"



36 posted on 8/21/2022, 12:26:10 AM by bugster (Even had men love their mamas.)

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 35 | View Replies ]

To: CatHerd

She discussed this publicly and made corrections on her website.

37 posted on 8/21/2022, 12:33:43 AM by Freedom562

[ Post Reply | Private Reply | To 25 | View Replies ]

To: metmoon

Ok, because not all women took the clot shot and those who didn't did what people do when everything is shut down and people are forced to stay home.